

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request further examination and reconsideration in view of the above amendments and the arguments set forth below. Claims 1-52 were previously pending in this application. Within the Office Action, Claims 1 and 8 have been rejected, Claims 2-7, 9 and 10 have been objected to and Claims 11-52 have been allowed. By the above amendment, Claims 2 and 8-10 have been amended and Claim 1 has been canceled. Accordingly, Claims 2-52 are currently pending.

Objections To The Specification

Within the Office Action, the disclosure is objected because of certain informalities. Specifically, on page 19, line 4, it is provided that “an 1394-2000 isochronous data packet” should be - - a 1394-2000 isochronous data packet - -. This amendment has been made by the above amendments. It is also provided that on page 10, lines 10-12 and page 11, lines 4-6, alternative solutions appear to be described. By the above amendment, these paragraphs have been amended to identify that these solutions appear in some embodiments.

For at least these reasons, it is requested that these objections be withdrawn.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Within the Office Action, Claims 1 and 8 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,463,620 to Sriram et al. (“Sriram”).

Within the Office Action, Claims 2-7, 9 and 10 have been objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. It is stated within the Office Action that Claims 2-7, 9 and 10 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. By the above amendment, the independent Claim 1 has been canceled and the objected to Claim 2 has been amended in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim. Accordingly, as indicated within the Office Action, the independent Claim 2 is allowable.

Claims 3-8 are all dependent on the independent Claim 2. Since independent Claim 2 is allowable, Claims 3-8 are all also allowable as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

By the above amendment, the objected to Claims 9 and 10 have been amended into independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Accordingly, as indicated within the Office Action, the independent Claims 9 and 10 are allowable.

Allowable Subject Matter

Within the Office Action, it is indicated that Claims 11-52 are allowable.

For the reasons given above, Applicant respectfully submits that all of the pending claims are now in condition for allowance, and allowance at an early date would be greatly appreciated. If the Examiner should have any questions or comments, the Examiner is encouraged to call the undersigned at (408) 530-9700 to discuss the same so that any outstanding issues can be expeditiously resolved.

Respectfully submitted,
HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP

Dated: May 31, 2006

By: Jonathan O. Owens
Jonathan O. Owens
Reg. No. 37,902
Attorneys for Applicants

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 CFR § 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP.
Date: 5/31/06 By: [Signature]